	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/786,299	MCCLURE, BRENT A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lee, Calvin	2818
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After-Final</u>		
(1) <u>Calvin Lee</u> .	(3)	
(2) Megan S. Woodworth.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 November 2005</u>	Time: <u>17:38</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 11 and 39 Prior art documents discussed: 2003/0089929 to Rhodes Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance		
of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Claim 11, line 10, after "charge collection region" insert --to form a doped area in said charge collection region--

Claim 11, line 11, after "within said slot" insert --, the contact being in electrical connection to said charge collection region--

Claim 39, line 8, after "floating diffusion region" insert --to form a doped area in said floating diffusion region--

Claim 39, line 9, after "floating diffusion region" insert --, the contact being in electrical connection to said floating diffusion region--.